The Appropriate Division of Regulatory Labor

Tim Brennan

Professor Emeritus, School of Public Policy, UMBC brennan@umbc.edu

31st Conference on Postal and Delivery Economics

Florence School of Regulation European University Institute

Center for Research in Regulated Industries Rutgers Business School

> Gdańsk, Poland 24 May 2023

What's to come

- · Environmental protection/GHG footprint?
 - o Not your job
 - o How can you make the trade-offs?
- · Employment effects
 - o When did you become macroeconomists?
 - o "Jobs" good or bad?
- · Distributive justice
 - o Do you really have much of an effect?
 - o Effort in the wrong place?

Stories from the dinosaur era

- · Early awareness from beginning days as an Antitrust Division economist
- Steel mergers: Let through because higher steel prices => less pollution?
- Beer mergers: Let through because higher beer prices => less drunk driving?
- TV broadcaster collusion on advertising time: Better to have fewer ads?
- · Of course not -- but why?

Everyone doesn't do everything

- · Back to the source: Adam Smith and the "division of labor"
- · Three reasons
- · "Dexterity": People work better who specialize
- · Avoiding switching between tasks
- · "Machinery": Can apply specialized equipment
- · How do these apply to regulation?

The division of regulatory labor

- · "Dexterity"
 - o Specialization important
 - o Antitrust agencies know about competition, but not tradeoffs with emissions, driving safety, communications market failures
- · "Machinery"
 - o Different skills needed to understand different effects
 - o Merger simulation vs. hedonic pricing?
- · Switching between tasks? More below

Environmental and postal policy

- · Incorporating carbon footprint considerations a common theme
 - o Parcel delivery vs. shopping
 - o EV in delivery fleet
- No issue with responding to consumer preference
- How much in cost to impose to reduce footprint by 10%? 50%? 100%?
- · Let regulator set the price

Employment as regulatory goal

- · "Create jobs"; "green jobs"
- · How does one know macroeconomics?
 - o Involuntary unemployment/recession?
 - o Net job effects—stadium studies
- · Is "more jobs" a good thing? Posner testimony story
- · How many thousands or millions of person-years will it take to achieve regulatory objective? Is a bigger number good?

Affordability and distributive justice

- · Wider recognition of distributive effects
 - o Affordability in postal
 - o Environmental justice, antitrust
 - o Income or disadvantaged groups in US
- Proposed (vague) incorporation in US regulatory assessments
- · Magnitude: Old telephone story
- · Other policies to redistribute wealth

Adding objectives in competition law

- · "Distribution" not new, "consumer" vs. "total welfare"
 - o But rejection of efficiency is
- · Alternatives proposed include:

Fairness	Political power imbalance	Income inequality
Anti-globalization	Labor share of income	Jobs
Competition as	Consumer choice	Managerial
process		competence
Media veracity	Environmental sustainability	Mitigating consumer error

What about competition advocacy?

- · Exception to the "division" rule?
- · Competition authorities telling regulators to take competition into account
 - o Grandfathering environmental, other rules
 - o Technological neutrality
- · What makes this different from environment relative to postal?
 - o No "price" available, like an emissions cost
- · Coase lesson: Internalize vs. market

Other potential limits on division

· Moral obligation

- o Don't run over pedestrians in delivery trucks, apart from liability
- o Carbon footprint—but now much
- o But what about probabilities—VSL?

Policy vacuum

- o Suppose environmental agency not on it
- o But dexterity, machinery problems still there
- o Nth best policy "theorem"
- · Substituting views for the public's

Summary

- · Issue NOT the importance of other goals
 - o Climate change
 - o Mitigating recessions and maintaining demand
 - o Distributive justice
- · Issue only whether a (postal) regulator can should pursue these
 - o Gets in the way of what they can do
 - o Both control market power and promote USO
 - o Other places can do it better